## Mr.

Deputy 'Ielecommurication
Inductry Ombudsman, T.I.O.'s Office, MELBOURNE. 3000.

## Dear Mr.

I draw your attention to the following attachmernts:

1. A letter from Austel dated 22nd April 1994 - one day after I had signed the arbitration (FTAP).
2. A copy of Austel's journal dated 22nd April 1994 showing 3 calls from my fax "Mitistusi" fucsimile lasting for 6 minuten and 15 seconds.
3. A letter from my solicitor William Hunt dated 29th June 1998. This letter is self explanatory.
4. A copy of Mr. Hunt's journal dated 294h June 1998 showing 7 pages received, time duration 3 minutes 46 eeconds. One record was hig own print out - 6 frixes were reccived including two blank sheets of paper.
5. One of 3 FOl docutacnts originally semt by me to Telstra during my arbitration procedure (K37979).

This white blank sheel of paper was one of the fares Ausied received instead of one of my Telstra incorrett charged billing recordings.

1 aaked both Telstra and the arbitrator to please explain the funny little symbol as the corner of each 3 blank sheets of paper, re K37979 below arrow - a little faded - but a visible square with a number or manking centre of square.
6. A bimitar blank sheet of paper with the seme symbol, a square with a number centre of square showing 2. Pease also note the aignature of Mr. Munl's secretary.

This blank sheet of paper was received on the 29th June 1998 from my oflice via my Xerox Fecsimile machino.

Your office is fully aware the COT's concem, that a number of COT' members tived so fur away from Melboume that we felt disadvantaged that most of our claim matcrial outside our original letter of claim had to he sent by fax.

Your office is equally aware as 'Telstra's defence shows my comphint at not being able to fax material at 'will" through to l awey.
$\mathbb{K}: \quad$ office in Melbournc 400 kilometres
ARBITRATOR

Your ollice is equally aware that as rocords show".. a your legal counsed also could not send me faxce at will, during and leading up to the "FIAP'.
Tio hegal Counsel

Your uffice is agein aware that I lodged complaints with the TIO regarding blank sheets of paper being received by various persons associated with iny business since the FTAP allcyedly was finalised.

To date you have not reaponded on these issues.
I again ask the 'STO's Office to enquire from Telstra as I did during my artitration now four years gone, what do those symbols portray at the comers of the blank sheets enclosed, as shown in 2 and 4 of this letter of today's date.

A matter of only weekt aso. I complained again, this lime regarding, Local SECREAT/ my local sectetary servicc, whio also received biank paper, this facsimile machine was a "panafax".

We now have 3 differcont facsimile machines involved.
I have had technological advice to what that company believes these symbols as mentioned above are.

I do not believe it shows credibility if one only assumes what they represent.
As the network is associaled with the eupply by Trelstra, their charging for these blank sheets of paper I have, I believe bave every ight to an explanation especially since my arbitration cost me some $\$ 170,000$ to prepare, not including the consequential and resultant lasses I continue to bare because my questions have stift not been answered now and during my arbitration.


A SMITH

