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This E-Mail is to alert you to a possible regulatory interaction with the current work on "COTS Cases" and ongoing work with AUSTEL on network performance.

As you know, a Ministerial Direction gave AUSTEL power to set end-to-end network performance standards. The AUSTEL Standards Advisory Committee established a working group (designation WG 1211) to set these standards, and Telecom has had a fairy hostile reception in this working group.

Yasmin Dugan from my area has been co-ordinating this work, working closely with Network Products (especially Operations) and the Business Units. The AUSTEL staff member leading the group originally wanted a very wide list of mandatory parameters. but after discussion with $D / N \in O$ ? and a presentation to the Standards Advisory Committee by Yasmin. AUSTEL have agreed to limit the scope of the initial work to the few parameters our customer surveys had shown as being of most concern. This work is now well advanced.

I believe that the "Service Operation Deemed Satisfactory" Project Team as pant of the COTS case work has also been looking at issues relevant to a service specification and testing procedure, and that originally they came out with a large number of parameters to specify and test.

The powers to set mandatory performance standards that AUSTEL has been given could well be used in some sort of regulatory outcome from AUSTEL's current COT case investigation. I believe it is essential that we provide a consistent approach to AUSTEL. lm hopeful that your team has taken Telstra's corporate position to AUSTEL as the starting point for their work. I strongly request that you give us early advice it for strategic reasons we should change our position with AUSTEL in the SAC and the working group 1211.

Sundards 8 Regulatory Strategy

