or 008 billing regarding this MISOTEPS exception as it might clate to the 9th august call even though it is shown as occuring on Julian date 93209 (Wednesday, July 28th 1993) and he will advise me of the case details within the next few days.

In summary, every effort was made to advise the customer of the nature of the events as they occurred during the testing resulting from the call from Horsham on the 9th of august. It is possible, due to the detailed technical nature of the information, that the customer either mis-understood or mis-interpreted the information supplied to him. All technical staff involved in the testing (at Horsham & Portland) were advised so I can only assume that Mr. Smith has been attempting to extract information from people either before all facts were known, or from people not involved in the testing.

## RE: Parapraph 6.

The customer reported problems for a catter from Daylesford area but was unable to give substantial information at the time.

Calls from Daylesford were identified in CCS7 data incoming to Mr. Smiths service and as listed as follows:

H17_16:00.59.044 MELX WBOX 32 IAM 055267267 053482	ST MSC	903 CPR
1000 CPI 3561 ACM 15642 ANS 31796 CLF 31800 RLG H17_16:27:20.594 MELO WBOX 123 IAM 055267267 053482	88 MSC	994 CPR
1058 CP: 3582 ACM 13050 ANS 495840 CBK 496743 CLF H17_17-19:40.712 MELQ WBOX 75 IAM 055267267 053482	496751 RLG 35 MSC	929 CPR
949 CPI 3521 ACM 6665 ANS 19370 CLF 19381 RLG H17_17:21.00.744 MELX WBOX 3 IAM 055267267 053482	96 M\$C	850 CPR
917 OP! 3332 ACM 5884 ANS 34582 CLF 34593 RLG H17_17:21:49.754 MELQ WBOX 21 IAM 035267267 053482	55 MSC	922 CPR
942 CPI 3420 ACM 6047 ANS 23260 CLF 23271 RLG		

The conversation periods are less than 20 seconds but there is no indication of network our off merely A party cleaning the call. A successful call was detected from The same origin on the following day:

950 CPR H18\_10;49:24.282 MELX WBOX 7 IAM 055Z87267 053462 61 MSC 1020 CP! 3437 ACM 9920 ANS 211140 CLF 211153 RLG

## CCAS data for these calls is:

TUE 17/08/93 16:00:45 IR TUE 17/08/93 16:27:07 IA TUE 17/08/93 17:19:13 IA	12 2P 	0000
TUE 17/08/95 17:19:19 1A TUE 17/08/93 17:20:02 IA TUE 17/08/93 17:20:49 IA TUE 17/08/93 10:49:11 IA	3 21 8 \$ 25 2 7 \$00	0.65.00.01

In response to reported problems for a caller from Daylesford area - Baliarat OSC1 500 was organised from the ARK at Daylesford (053 483XXXX) calls 1 failure (pre dial tone), in addition, analysis of other CCS7 data was undertaken to identify any possible problems, none were found.

## RE: Parsaceph 7.

Bruce Pendelbury reported catting Mr. Smith 30th August and experiencing audio abnormalities on the call (possible clinning/voice loss) and stranged with of Portland exchange to test the performance of the local system. As a result Gordon

ΤŌ

This supervision process is a genuine side effect of MCT on calls from A party numbers with insufficient CLI. It is a design limitation of older technology exchanges that cannot be overcome at this time. Since it was determined that MCT on 055 267 267 was causing some problem for the customer it was removed immediately.

The operation of the MCT function in this manner explains the performance during testing, but it does not adequately answer the possible causes for a call being held for two hours. All attempts to cause the same process to occur during testing failed to result in calls held for > 80 seconds. The AXE 104 support group (specifically were approached to determine if there were any known or possible causes for the customers LI to be held busy at the termination of a call. They had no reported incidents of this type.

Similar information was requested of AXE National Support (specifically) and again, no incidents of this type were reported. At NNI request, the raised a query in NASM regarding a problem in /86 sites that was identified during testing (where an MCT individual was held at both PORX and MELU although no LI's were held busy) and this was forwarded to Ericsson for their action. This problem does not relate to the problems expensioned by the customer but it is mentioned as the only known abnormality with MCT block at this time.

Contact was made with the Intelligent Network (IN) staff to extract the call record that should have been generated for the 608 call on the 9 th of August. After extensive searching of the call record database, the call was not found. This was confirmed by checking CABS pre-bill where there was no evidence of the call record. NNI later requested that the IN staff search through the errored call record files they keep within the IN switch, however the call record files for the day in question had been overwritten. NNI are still pursuing possible reasons for the non-production of this call record within the IN and when information is available it will be forwarded.

Supporting data from CABS:

CABS extract from Bill for 008 816 522:

```
10 350 00 AUG 10.10A 05.267467 D 0.21 1.49
11 505 00 AUG 05.866 038247267 No 14:46 2.10
10 305 11 AUG 12.374
```

MISCTEPS extract from History for 006 616 522:

RICKITY MARKES 21 TT++-32	3803		7205	CHA26
21 75++-32	383	04	7205	CHA26
カター 発音機能 40日	Manney	14147	154-11	2331-11
13209-22	-1			
	13 STATES 13209-11 VESTONE	13 3741-1: ADARTIC	13 3784-10 <b>40</b> 8814 (4887-1488) 13209-1178 VESTORE	10209-2273 VESTQNI

STATE 1004 STILL A DOS (15532 PO FF
TARE 30204-0005 RECS 1 STICKETY 1
RIVE 1004 STILL A DOS (15532 PO FF
RIVE 1004 STILL

L 3.74