

Pendlebury, Bruce

T

From: [redacted]
To: Pendlebury, Bruce
Subject: RE:
Date: Thursday, 7 April 1994 2:05PM

Bruce,
I am happy to provide the data, but will be unavailable until Monday. [redacted] he indicated he would be happy to provide the analysis if required. I don't mind either way. Would you prefer that he provided the analysis or are you happy that I do so (as time permits).
I await your response.

Regards,
[redacted]
From: Pendlebury, Bruce
To: [redacted]
Date: 7 April 1994 4:51

K01006



David,
Mr Alan Smith is absent from his premises from 5/8/94 - 8/8/94. On other occasions when he has been absent there have been documented complaints received [usually months later] involving NRR etc. I called the premises at approx. 4:55 pm 6/4/94 the answer time was 41 secs.

I intend on this occasion to document his absence and file all data I can collect for the period. That way we should be prepared for anything that follows.

Could you please collect the Charge Check and Signalling data for the period and provide some analysis of unanswered, busy VC and long delay before answer.

Regards, Bruce P

F.O.I. document K01006 is also alarming. It is from Bruce Pendlebury of Telstra to David (name blanked out) and states "Mr Smith is absent from his premises from 5/8/94 - 8/8/94." How did Telstra know this when K01006 was dated 7 April 1994 and they had told the Australian Federal Police that malicious call tracing only occurred around August 1993? could it be that Telstra was privy to my private telephone conversations while I was involved with Arbitration Settlement procedures between 7 April 1994 and 11 May 1995? My technical advisor lived in Queensland and all discussions about my Arbitration Settlement procedures took place by telephone.

31