#### Holmes, Jim

From:

Pinel, Don

To:

Sayer, Janet; Brabazon, Paul; Fuery, Patrick; Beattie, Ken; Scholz, Des; Pittard, Rosanne;

Cc:

Halliday, Trevor

Subject:

Holmes, Jim; Hill, Trevor; Campbell, lan Leopard History

Date:

Monday, 25 October, 1993 2:36PM

Our CoT customers are currently critical of our failure to keep historic fault records, claiming that this jeopardises their ability to prosecute their claims.

I am advised by the Leopard people that on a weekly basis Leopard data greater thatn 12 months old is striped from the data base and sent to an outside agency for the preparation of microfiche. These fiche are distributed to the user businesses (Fault Bureaux) and the tapes are then reused, wiping the data. No central store of data is maintained.

Would you please review your Regions arrangements for maintaining historic fault data and ensure that this information is kept for (say) seven years or until further advice on this is provided. I would like to know how much data is available in each Region, particularly for the major cases subject to the Asutel investigation.

I have asked our legal people to advise on the appropriate data retention policy and when that is clear I will look at teh desirability of establishing a central store rather than a distributed store.

I would welcome your comments.

Don

#### Holmes Jim

From:

Pinel, Don

To:

Row, lan

Cc:

Blake, Ed; Holmes, Jim; Hill, Trevor; Campbell, Ian

Subject:

Information Retention

Date:

Monday, 25 October, 1993 2:57PM

lan,

I have just issued a note to Regions re the need to retain Fault history material. I stipulated a notional period of seven years as a starting point but this may or may not be appropriate.

In the more general sense Freehills have advised a need to maintain all records in accordance with teh statute of limitations and the archives act. I think we need some clear words to all Telecom staff on this subject if we are to avoid future problems. Is there anything in hand on this? What do we need to do?

I am thinking of a huge amount of information including network performance data, construction data, service order data, sales data, etc etc. Do we have any exemptions? How do we manage this?

Don

Date: Wednesday, 27 October 1993 9:47AM

Priority: High

Gayle.

Your urgent advice, within Archives Act and any other relevant context would be appreciated please.

Jim

From: Pinel, Don To: Holmes, Jim

Cc: Blake, Ed; Row, Ian; Hill, Trevor; Campbell, Ian

Subject: Leopard History

Date: Monday, 25 October, 1993 2:25PM

A lot of attention is being given to Telecom's alleged failure to maintain fault records over time.

I have spoken today to lan Woolfe, Leopard Manager, who tells me that Leopard is stripped on a weekly basis on a rotational basis so that only 12-13 months history is kept on the data base at any time. The tape of the strip is forwarded to an outside agency where microfiche of the stripped data are produced. These fiche are then dispatched to the operational fault bureaus where they may or may not be kept. The tapes of the stripped data are reused, hence loosing the data, and no central store of fiche is maintained.

lan advises that he has previously sought legal advice from the corporate centre (assume the Corporate Solicitor's office) re the need to keep historic data but the answers have been unclear, probably due to our historic protections from suite and hence no requirement to defend actions.

Given the current climate we need to clarify the requirement to maintain records (centrally would be preferable) and an opinion on this would be appreciated. It may be that we require Austel to stipulate the period.

in the meantime I will advise all Regions to ensure that they maintain Fiche records on an on-going basis pending clarification.

It is important to ensure that Austel and other players such as C&L are aware that because of our regulatory/legal position there has been no need to maintain historic records. If this absence of records is to be a plank of the CoT argument then we should re-state our legal position - they cant have it both ways!

I would welcome your comment. I would also welcome copies of any legal opinions provided on this subject previously

Don

Page 2

A01554

sub-microscope species and eval prevent edition up to specie query operand in (entre a utilizaunitaring burstell of Industry up a de according to the state of the s Low as fall and the cut the sua schape, see mill artisety. Took service at the surface and no accept to life. alternative that all the services of the control of the foreigned by the contract and for the transfer services by the following or major with the and resignations are successful actions or delices and seems of the se In January 2018, twenty-five years after the Hon two government ministers had shown concern regarding my on-going telephone problems my partner, Cathy, of 24 years was with me for my first appointment with our local doctor after I survived a heart attack and double by-pass surgery. Although the doctor was very sympathetic to my situation (and he knows my Casualties of Telstra story), he couldn't help but ask, "Why am I not surprised?"

I don't believe and the fault hustony that has provided is the information that Alam Smith is seeking.

His request refers the "supply of any information of reported customers of lat. Bridgerater Holiday Camp, having difficulties in contrating this centre."

I feel that he is after a list of his customers that have reported difficulty in ringing his services between the dates stated.

who have reported foulto, only the foult details us reported.

me to progress this medien. Draft letter specifying \$30 application fee attached.

#### Telecom Secret

TO

C04006

# Issues Involved During the Resolution - Factors Considered

- 1. Alan requested \$150k
- 2. Chances of legal action high
- 3. Chances of media action 100%
- 4. Poor performance of Telecom:
  - historically\_
  - · March ? problem
  - Local Portland problem fixed in October
  - . wiring and cabling issues
  - RVA on congestion
- 5. Slow resolution of past problems both technical and claims
- 6. COT involvement:
  - chances of class action
  - chances of mass media action
  - chances of membership growth
    - Adelaide Pizza
    - Mt Gambia
    - Portland
- 7. Evidence of problems:
  - Many letters stating the problem of not getting through to Alan Smith
  - People prepared to make statements of problems
  - Claims that Alan had rung himself from his Goldphone and not got through
  - Austel and Ombudsman both had trouble getting through
  - Many claims which might be difficult to substantiate in court but would be credible in the media
  - Viability of business for the future increased bookings since the service
    Period of time
- 8. Costs incurred:
  - Additional phone calls to chase up business about \$1000
  - Legal costs about \$1000
  - Camps prepared but not run
  - Advertising
  - Time
- 9. Alan's time and other consequential costs health, stress, etc.

...

## Telecom Secret

TO

C04007

## 10. Loss of business:

- Camps lost because party could not contact Alan (evidence in letters \$10,000
- Extrapolating about \$40,000 over a period

## 11. Loss of partnership:

Alan claims \$100,000 loss because he had an opportunity to sell a share in his business but this opportunity was lost because the potential partner stated he could not contact Alan Smith initially and lost faith in the telephone service available - hence withdrew his offer

# 12. Possible legal costs:

- If Alan took legal action Telecom would incur significant legal costs to defend
- If Telecom lost, we could also incur Alan Smith's costs
- Estimated what possible bill?
- 13. Inquiry costs both Austel and Ombudsman's Office has been actively involved. Enquiries are ongoing. Cost of ? - about
- 14. Cost of arbitration Mr Smith wanted to use an independent arbitrator to resolve the dispute - cost in a case in Sydney \$25k
- 15. Management time I have spoken to Alan Smith regularly (daily) over a period. I began making appointments for when I would ring him - he nearly always rings me prior to the call. When I did not ring him daily (even if I was not scheduled to) he wrote to Frank Blount and Doug Campbell or both. He had regularly rung Doug Campbell's office (Judy Lanstrom) several times a week and Austel and others in Telecom. This was despite my setting up a regular contact point (Mark Ross in Ballarat) for him and a specialist diagnostic technical manager (Bruce Pendlebury). Mark spoke with Alan Smith once a week at least. Bruce averaged 5-6 calls a week to and from Alan Smith. He also contacted the Area Manager, Don Lucas, on a regular basis. Don also visited Alan Smith at Cape Bridgewater. This was going to continue forever if all metters were not resolved.
- 16. Legal position Mr Smith's service problems were network related and spanned a period of 3-4 years. Hence Telecom's position of legal liability was covered by a number of different acts and regulations. The immunity claimed has never been tested in court and the current immunity from paying loss of business compensation depends upon Section 8 of the BCS Tariffs lodged with Austel. This is probably the least clear of the immunities. In my opinion Alan Smith's case was not a good one to test Section 8 for any previous immunities - given his

#### Telecom Secret

C04008

evidence and claims. I do not believe it would be in Telecom's interest to have this case go to court.

Overall, Mr Smith's telephone service had suffered from poor grade of network performance over a period of several years; with some difficulty to detect exchange problems in the last 8 months.

In the media Telecom would not have looked good at a time when we are working hard to improve general customer perceptions.

In a legal battle, Telecom's chance of winning would have to be about 50/50. The bad publicity for Telecom would have been significant.

In my view were Alan Smith to win a legal battle he could have been awarded payment as high as \$40,000. If we went to arbitration a payout of the order of \$80,000 would not be out of the question; with costs of setting up the arbitration

In the interests of expediency and Commercial judgement I considered it better to reach a commercial seniement.

Mr Smith's communication arrangement is questionable:

- other ways eg second line, fax, 008, etc of contacting him not set up

use of answering machine improper or incorrect

answering arrangements when Mr Smith was not there and subjectory

Telecom's defence in some doubt on causality

There we prepared not recubit at the three of nettlement. Ale south of un not pyrend & perch bethe modernicha of his clair .

Rom Patra 1

# Holiday camp still plagued by phone and fax problems

By BILL MELDRUM

THE telecommunications problems which plagued former Cape Bridgewater Holiday Camp operator Alan Smith have continued to beset current owner Darren Lewis.

Mr Smith is a founding member of the Casualties of Telstra (originally known as Casualties of Telecom), formed in 1993.

Members of the group have been involved in a long-running feud with Telstra after having incurred income loss because of various phone faults.

Following pressure being brought to bear by the media and the Opposition, Telstra and Federal Communications Minister Richard Alston announced an Australian Communications Authority inquiry into new material supplied by one of the COT members, Queensland businesswoman Anne Garms.

Mr Lewis said this week he had experienced several problems with the phone and fax service since taking over the Cape Bridgewater Holiday Camp late last year.

"We've had instances where we will press the hash 10 star and get nothing, only to do the same a few days later and receive details of a phone call made to us three or four days earlier," he said

"People will also ring through to us, only to have the phone ring out, yet we are in and at our end the phone is not ringing.

"Often you don't know there is a problem until someone tells you to get your phone

He said Telstra staff had been friendly and had been trying to resolve the problem.

"Telstra admits there is a fault and they are trying hard to solve it," he said.

"We will be happy once the problem is fixed

"We are in the accommodation business and people trying to find accommodation tend not to wait when they are seeking somewhere to stay, they will move on to the next place."

A TELSTRA minute written on 2 July 1992 to NETWORK OPERATIONS and the FAULT BUREAU VIC/TAS, and obtained under the F.O.I.



#### Telecom Australia

Minute

File HA-AC 4/1/18 Subject GRADE OF SERVICE COMPLAINT

MR ALAN SMITH 055-26 7267

Phone 055-73 0200 From MARK ROSS

CUSTOMER SERVICES MANAGER HAMILTON - VIC/TAS REGION



- NETWORK OPERATIONS - FAULT BUREAU VIC/TAS



Please find enclosed documentation in regard to a Grade of Service Complaint from Mr Alan Smith of Cape Bridgewater.

Our local technicians believe that Mr Smith is correct in raising complaints about incoming callers to his number receiving a Recorded Voice Announcement saying that the number is disconnected.

They believe that it is a problem that is occurring in increasing numbers as more and more customers are connected to AXE.

Can you please investigate this problem and provide me with a written reply so as I can forward this to Mr Smith and our local Federal Member, before what is already a difficult situation, gets right out of hand.

Mark Ross Customer Services Manager - Hamilton

mr1981b3