1

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

PAUL J COSGRAVE
Barrister
Owen Dixon Chambers West
Room 6, Level 5
525 Lonsdale Street
MELBOURNE VIC 3000
Telephone (03) 9608 7687
Secretary (03) 9608 7953
Facsimile (03) 9608 8776

DATE:

3 DECEMBER 1998

TO:

MR GRAHAM SCHORER

FAX NO:

9287 7001

K

FROM:

PAUL J COSGRAVE

FAX NO:

(03) 9608 8776

NOTE:

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE 12 PAGES (INCLUDING THIS ONE).

PLEASE TELEPHONE SENDER ON (03) 9608 7953

REMARKS:

Memorandum follows.

IMPORTANT

The contents of this facsimile including any attachments are privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the addressee above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, copying or use of the information is strictly prohibited. If you have received the document in error, please advise me by telephone immediately and then destroy the document.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA AT MELBOURNE COMMERCIAL LIST

No. 2082/98 F. 4951

IN THE MATTER of an Arbitration under the COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION ACT

BETWEEN:

TELSTRA CORPORATION LIMITED (ACN 051 775 556)

Plaintiff

- and -

GRAHAM SCHORER and others

Defendants

MEMORANDUM OF ADVICE

- In this matter, I act on behalf of Mr Graham Schorer and companies and other
 entities associated with and controlled by him ("the Schorer interests"). The
 Schorer interests have been engaged in a lengthy dispute with Telstra regarding
 the provision of telecommunication services to the business conducted by Mr
 Schorer.
- My advice is sought on two issues:
 - (a) would the Schorer interests be able to obtain an order for specific performance of the agreement made with Lyn Chisholm of Telstra in May 1998;
 - (b) did Telstra and/or the Telecommunications Industry Ombudsman improperly procure the entry by the Schorer interests into the arbitration currently on foot between those parties in late 1993 and early 1994.

This memorandum addresses the first issue.

On my instructions, in about late April or early May 1998 Mr Schorer had a
meeting at his office with Lyn Chisholm of Telstra. Ms Chisholm is the Telstra

If the proceeding were issued in the Commercial List of the Supreme Court, it would be realistic to obtain a trial date towards the end of 1999. However, if Telstra were unsuccessful in the action, I consider an appeal would be inevitable. These practical issues should be taken into account when deciding upon an appropriate course of action.

28. If my instructing solicitor has any enquiries with respect to the foregoings, he should not hesitate to contact me.

P.J. COSGRAVE

Owen Dixon Chambers West

3 December 1998