

Campbell, Ian

From: [redacted]  
To: [redacted]  
Subject: FW: ARBITRATOR  
Date: Thursday, 3 March 1994 9:16AM

DELIVERED TO IAIN CAMPBELL INSTEAD OF IAN CAMPBELL

From: [redacted]  
To: [redacted]  
Cc: [redacted] new; Pari:  
Subject: RE: ARBITRATOR  
Date: Thursday, 3 March 1994 7:21AM

Stephen:

I am more and more of the view that some form of summit meeting be held between <sup>TIO</sup> AUSTEL (Robin Davey), Gordon Hughes, David Kraussman, me, and perhaps others to put this "foolishness" behind us.

Please advise.

Frank

From: [redacted]  
To: [redacted]  
Subject: FW: ARBITRATOR  
Date: Wednesday, March 02, 1994 10:50PM  
Priority: High

Frank

Copy for your information

Steve Black

From: [redacted]  
To: [redacted]  
Cc: [redacted] ARBITRATOR  
Subject: [redacted]  
Date: Wednesday, 2 March 1994 10:48PM  
Priority: High

David

As discussed it appears that [redacted] and [redacted] are ignoring our joint and consistent message to them to rule that our preferred rules of arbitration are fair and to stop trying to devise a set of rules which meet all the COTS requirements and with which we might agree if we were prepared to waive further rights.

Whilst at a personal level I am of the view that we should walk away I do not believe that this option suits Telecoms wider strategy in that it would appear to lead directly to a senate enquiry.

My course therefore is to force [redacted] to rule on our preferred rules of arbitration.

I am having our preferred rules prepared now based on <sup>TIO OFFICER</sup> latest rules plus our amendments. I have also initiated an independent and authoritative view on these rules, which I expect will advise that these rules are fair. I will then send these directly to [redacted] with a direct and blunt request to rule on whether they are fair.

I expect this action to be finalised by tomorrow midday.

ARBITRATOR

ARBITRATOR

DO1166

ARBITRATOR