

28 January, 1994

K01160

Mr Alan Strith
Cape Bridgewater Holiday Camp

By facsimile No. 055 267 230

Dear Mr Smith

Cape Bridgewater Holiday Camp DLM:001660539

We refer to your letter dated 4 January 1994 to Denise McBurnie.

We also refer to your telephone conversation with Denise McBurnie on 25 January 1994 and confirm that Telecom wishes to establish Mr Steve Black and Mr Paul Rumble of Telecom, as your point of contact for requests for information from our client. Any further requests for information which you have for our client should therefore be directed to Mr Black or Mr Rumble.

In response to your request for information we provide below our client's responses to the questions raised in paragraphs 1-6 of your letter. In your letter you requested answers to the questions raised in paragraph 1-7. Your letter did not contain a paragraph 7 and we were unable to ascertain any further questions from your letter. Our ellent has instructed us to respond to the questions raised in paragraphs 1-6 of your letter as follows:

(i) Paragraph 1

Telecom has previously advised both yourself and AUSTEL that it did locate the names of two employees who made the calls referred to in this paragraph. These employees are involved in investigating reported faults and testing customer services by making a number of calls each day. Given the elapse of time between the making of the two test calls in question and the time you requested release of the caller's name, it would be difficult to determine any detailed information regarding the discussions which took place during those test calls. It is Telecom's position that it will not release the names of amployees unless Telecom considers the release of such information to be reasonable and proper in all the circumstances.

BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS

MSI GPO : TELEPHONE (6) TELEX A

388 IE

LONGER



Y01161

(2) Paragraph 2

An examination of the fault history for telephone number 055 267 267 indicates that you made a total of nine reports to Telecom's Fault Report Services during the period 1 January 1993 until 9 August 1993. As a result of testing conducted into these reports the following results were obtained:

- In January 1993 two reports resulted in:
 - (i) on 6 January 1993, a handset was replaced at your premises.
 - (ii) on 13 January 1993, a printed circuit board at the Portland Telephone Exchange associated with your telephone equipment was replaced.
- On 18 February 1993 your report was referred to the Customer Operations
 Group in Ballarat. This report involved the repair of a fault that was found on
 another customer's PABX located in Ballarat.
- Testing associated with the remaining six reports occurred between 20 May 1993 until 9 August 1993 and resulted in the fault reports being cleared as "No Fault Found" or "No Fault Found, but additional network testing to be undertaken". This additional testing found no evidence of any network faults.

(3) Paragraph 3

Telecom has recently had in place equipment to monitor your service at the Portland Exchange. This equipment is involved in passive line potential monitoring and does not "register" fault conditions as such, but provides a report on the line status experienced, for example, incoming eall, outgoing call, time of call. Interpretation of the output of this monitoring is required in conjunction with other information and testing to allow Telecom to determine the overall performance of a customer's service.

Other forms of service monitoring which can be used by Telecom are AXE Test System and Common Channel Signalling Monitoring. Again, these systems both produce data that requires analysis and cross referencing with other materials. It is therefore not possible to provide the information as requested in paragraph 3 of your letter. A detailed analysis of your service occurs as an ongoing process and any anomalies detected during that time are acted upon directly.

(4) Paragraph 4

W3545

As the information provided originally in your letter dated 12 November 1993 was of a limited nature, no specific response was possible to your allegations concerning over charging and short duration of calls. However, Telecom does have clearly defined policies and principles for call charging and billing. These principles are:

- customers will be charged only for calls which are answered.
- unanswered calls are not charged.