# MONNIS FLETCHER BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS

MALIEN BON BON BENEFIT OF THE STATE OF THE S

OUR REFERENCE

PLB 928549

MANUFERMAN TO AMAN STATE OF THE ACT OF THE A

CONSULTANT

SENIOR ASSOCIATES SENDE ASSOCIATE
FAIR SALTEM
FA MEMORY & RAYE MEMORY & MATERIALS TITLE C. MATERIAL TITLE C. MATERIAL TITLE C. MATERIAL TO SEELEN TO SEELE TO SEELEN TO SEELEN

SYSNEY

SYSNEY

SYSNEY

SALDANIES OF ALCOUNTER

STREET ALSTER

GARAT F MATTE

GARAT ALCOUNT

GARAT GARAT

GARAT

GARAT

GARAT

ALCOUNT

GARAT

GARAT

ALCOUNT

GARAT

GARAT

GARAT

GARAT

ALCOUNT

GARAT

GARA

METANCE IN THESE
TRANSCEDLY
DAVID F. KENNELY
FOLLOW F. KENNELY
FOLLOW F. KENNELY
FAMILY
FOLLOW F. KENNELY
FAMILY
FOLLOW F. KENNELY
FAMILY
FAMI MANUAL P. MINISTER

IANGEL P. MONESON

IANGEL P. MO

CHANGE AND

YOUR REFERENCE.

CONSULTANTS WHAN I ME I CHALL UNESCHAPT LASTRON A RANGEST CANCERON & CHILL TON

CANTRIBEA BOARETS CLONES DEADS & CHESSA

METHRE D'AVALLET

40 MARKET STREET MELBOURNE VICTORIA

TELEPHONE (03) 617 4617 INTERNATIONAL -01 / 017 4017

FACSIVILE .191617 atom

DX 204 MEDPOT BAF

DOSTAL ADDRESS GPOROX 7683 MEI BOURNE VIC 3001 ACSTRALIA

DIRECT LINE (03) 617 4623

6 May 1994

Mr Alan Smith Cape Bridgewater Holiday Camp RMB 4408 Cape Bridgewater PORTLAND VIC 3305

By Facsimile: 055 267 230

Dear Alan

Fast-Track Arbitration Procedure

Warwick sent to me a copy of your letter to him of 5 May 1994. I feel that a few points should be clarified.

- I certainly told you that nothing in the Procedure would prevent you from including in your claim 'consequential losses. flow-on losses, loss of health etc. However, I must emphasise that Dr Hughes can only make a decision on the material before him. It will be up to Dr Hughes to decide whether the material you put to him warrants an allowance for these parts of your
- As you are aware I have no role to play relating to the FOI 2. application. I would again point out however, that after your claim is submitted to Dr Hughes, he has the power to order Telecom to produce documents, if he believes that they are relevant.

Alan, I would urge you to give the Procedure a fair go before going

Yours sincerely

Peter L Bartlett

15-A

1/p1b412601

BRISBANT

HONG KONG. 1852 746 7190

OLEKSEAS ASSOCIATED OFFICES AUCKLAND WELLINGTON REDING SINGAPOR.

\* DELAIDE ASSOCIATED OFFICE: BAKER OLDI GHLIN (64, 250 3555\*)
WITH ASSOCIATED OFFICE: MINTER BY LISON NORTHMORE HALE (64, 229 724)



WAR REFERENCE

40 MARKET STREET MELDOUBLING VICTORIA

PURING AMERICA Cities have have MELTICURNE VIC: VOI AUSTRALIA

DX 704 MIT JUNE HAVE XO

THE EPHONE (03) 617 4617 INTERNATIONAL (6) 3 617 4617 FACSIMILE: (03) 617 4666

WALLER'S DESPCT | INT

(03) 617 4623

28 April 1995

CACH MIZAMANA

PLB 928549

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

Mr Warwick L Smith Telecommunications Industry Ombudsman Box 18098 Collins Street Bast MELBOURNE 3000

Dear Warwick

Fast Track Arbitration - Smith

Further to our recent discussion, it seems to me that we should put to Gordon Hughes that we expect his Award to be made prior to his departure on 12 May 1995.

Attached is a draft letter to Gordon. It is in reasonably harsh terms.

Could you please consider whether a letter in this form or an amended form, should go to Gordon.

Regarde

Peter L Bartlett

enc.

1/010511804

# DRAFT

28 April 1995

Dr Gordon Hughes Hunt & Hunt Solicitors GPO Box 1533N MELBOURNE VIC 3000

By Facsimile: 614 8730

Dear Gordon

Fast Track Arbitration - Smith

I am becoming increasingly concerned at the delays in the finalisation of this matter.

The Resource Unit tells me that it expects its technical and financial reports to the Arbitrator will be released today to the parties. The parties will then of course have the right to a reasonable period within which to comment on these reports. The extent of this period would of course by in your discretion.

However, I understand you are to present a paper in Greece in mid May .

I would expect the Award would be delivered prior to your departure.

It would be unacceptable to contemplate the delivery of the Award being delayed until after your return.

Could you please contact me to discuss.

Yours sinc

15-B





23 January 1996

Our Ref: GLH

Matter No:

Mr J Pinnock
Telecommunications Industry Ombudsman
321 Exhibition Street
MELBOURNE Vic 3000

Dear Mr Pinnock

INSTITUTE OF ARBITRATORS - COMPLAINT BY ALAN SMITH

I enclose copy letters dated 18 and 19 January 1996 from the Institute of Arbitrators Australia. I would like to discuss a number of matters which arise from these letters, including:

- the cost of responding to the allegations;
- (b) the implications to the arbitration procedure if I make a full and frank disclosure of the facts to Mr James.

Yours sincerely

GORDON HOCHES

End.

cc P Bartlett

Peter J. Swite Peter D. Francis Josef M. Lightonwiczs Waysee R. Cabill Ngolle GJ-L Debracy Conet D. Selhan Chanky Vectorics Million P. C'Sheat

Consultants Konsult M. Murrin Schard J. Kalburny Andrew Ignities

Associates State C. Hind John S. Moires Malines A. Henderson Feneds V. Gallichia John D.F. Mosnis Michael S. Canick

Interpretating

17 6 2 17

melbox ?

b + i = b = =

.....

\*\*\*\*\*\*

representative land

edeleid

darwiz

Level 21, 459 Collins Street, Melbourne 3000, Australia. Telephone: (61-3) 9617 9200.

11612091 Facsimile (61-3) 9617 9299. G.P.O. Sox 1533N, Melbourne 3001. DX 252, Melbourne.

Essail: bunt.HUNT@interisw.org





vid M. Scarlett ward S Boyce mes G.F. Harrowell Jierdon L. Hughes Mark T. Knapman David P. Cooper lan S. Craig Peter I. Ewin Peter D. Francis Jenni M. Lightowlers Wayne B. Cahill Neville G.H. Debney Grant D. Sefton Charles Veevers William P. O'Shea

Consultants Kenneth M. Martin Richard J. Kellaway Andrew Jenkins

David G. Watts

Associates Shane G. Hird John S. Molnar Melissa A. Henderson Francis V. Gallichio John D.F. Morris Michael S. Carrick

Incorporating: Francis Abourizk Lightowl

melbourne

sydney wes

brisbane.

eanberra

sydner

15 February 1996

Our Ref: GLH Matter No: 5122795

Mr John Pinnock Telecommunications Industry Ombudsman 321 Exhibition Street MELBOURNE Vic 3000

Dear Mr Pinnock

### ALAN SMITH

I enclose a draft letter which I propose forwarding to the Institute of Arbitrators Australia in response to the complaint by Mr Smith.

I would appreciate your confirmation that there is nothing in the proposed letter which would embarrass your office or jeopardise the current arbitrations.

You may consider it appropriate for you to provide an independent letter of support. This is of course a matter for your discretion.

I await your response.

Yours sincerely

Los curred with Lea

To speck to Godon or. 3 molties X

Little in supposed to be preposed.

newcastle

represented in

adelaide

darwin

15-E

Level 21, 459 Collins Street, Melbourne 3000, Australia. Telephone: (61-3) 9617 9200. 11660442 Formule: (61-3) 9617 9299. G.P.O. Box 1533N, Melbourne 3001. DX 252, Melbourne. Email: Mail/hunt.hunt@interlaw.org



## FERRIER HODGSON CORPORATE ADVISORY

#### STRICTLY PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL

BY COURIER

18 April 1995

Pra 1914/95.

Mr Warwick Smith
Telecommunications Industry Ombudsman
Ground Floor
321 Exhibition Street
MELBOURNE VIC 3000

Dear Sir,

RE

Fast Track Arbitration Procedure - Resource Unit Arbitrations: Smith, Garms, Gillan/Valkobi

I acknowledge receipt of your letter of 23 March 1995. The matters raised in your letter were discussed at a meeting with Sue Hodgkinson and me on Tuesday, 4 April 1995. I now formally reply to your letter and update you on further developments since our meeting.

I note from the tone of your letter that you are somewhat concerned as to the apparent time frames within which you, as Administrator of the Fast Track Arbitrations, can expect finalisation of the above named arbitrations.

You have requested advice as to when, in terms of weeks, the Resource Unit envisages being in a position to provide its integrated financial and technical assessments to the Arbitrator for the above arbitrations. I now respond accordingly in relation to each:

#### Smith

The Resource Units role is almost complete, but more work is to be done to tidy our reports (both technical and financial) to a form suitable for submission to the parties by the end of April 1995.

The Resource Unit has completed a preliminary review of the financial material contained in the claim, defence and reply. The interim report has been drafted based on the assumption that technical faults did occur.

FERRIER HODGSON CORPORATE ADVISORY (VIC) PTY LTD
A.C.N. 052 403 040

EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS: DOUG CARLSON, JOHN SELAK LEVEL 25 140 WILLIAM STREET MELBOURNE VICTORIA 3000 TELEPHONE 83 629 8855 FACSIMILE 03 629 8361 LICENSED INVESTMENT ADVISER 15-F



No further questions are anticipated from the Arbitrator. An important meeting took place between the Resource Unit and the Arbitrator on 10 April 1995 over the need to manage the issuance of Resource Unit reports.

Lane Telecommunications have commenced their detailed review in mid March and now have completed their draft interim report (on 6 April 1995). This report is subject to review and amendment by Paul Howell of DMR Inc prior to issuance.

#### Garms

The Resource Unit has commenced its review of the financial issues. A preliminary report is envisaged to be finalised within three weeks. Lane Telecommunications have commenced their review and, at this stage, they estimate that their preliminary review will be completed within one month (mid to late May) for review by Paul Howell of DMR Inc.

#### Gillan/Valkobi

The Resource Unit has commenced its review of the financial issues. We envisage that our preliminary report will be finalised within three weeks. Lane Telecommunications have commenced their review and, at this stage, they likewise expect their preliminary review will be completed within one month for review by Paul Howell of DMR Inc.

#### Resource Unit (including Technical Support)

I note your comment that the Resource Unit reports issued to the Arbitrator must also be provided to the claimant and Telecom for their comment. We agree that this may prolong the process further, but the fact is that this is a requirement of the fast track arbitration. The Smith report will be available imminently and subsequent reports can, with the benefit of experience be expected to proceed more expeditiously.

I also advise that Mr Paul Howell, Director of DMR Inc Canada arrived in Australia on 13 April 1995 and worked over the Easter Holiday period, particularly on the Smith claim. Any technical report prepared in draft by Lanes will be signed off and appear on the letterhead of DMR Inc. Paul Howell anticipates completing the Smith technical report by the end of April.

What

Further, I advise that additional resources have been applied to the assignments and work on each has been undertaken contemporaneously. We have technical staff and financial support staff working on Garms and Gillan (in parallel) and visits to Brisbane are anticipated by the end of April 1995.



#### Arbitration

I understand that Dr Hughes will contact you directly (in your capacity as Administrator of the Fast Track Arbitration Procedures) on any legal procedural issues associated with the progress of the Arbitrations.

#### Conclusion

In conjunction with Dr Gordon Hughes, we are fast tracking the procedure with the aim of achieving a decision that has regard for due process and investigation.

In closing, I hope that it is possible for you (in your capacity as Administrator for the above referred Fast Track Arbitrations) to continue in that position until we can resolve these claims.

It is unfortunate that there have been forces at work collectively beyond our reasonable control that have delayed us in undertaking our work. It is only now, following the review and acceptance of our Resource Unit (including acceptance of Lane Telecommunications by the COT claimants), that we are in a position to analyse the merits (including technical aspects) of each claim.

Do not hesitate to contact the writer directly on (03) 629 8855.

Yours faithfully,

FERRIER HODGSON CORPORATE ADVISORY

OHN RUNDELL

Project Manager - Resource Unit

Associate Director

Encl.

C.C.

Mr Peter Bartlett, Partner, Minter Ellison Morris Fletcher. Dr Gordon Hughes, Arbitrator, Managing Partner, Hunt & Hunt.

new; Pari:

#### Campbell, lan

From: To:

Campbell, lain Campbell, lan

Subject:

Date:

FW: Gordon Hughes Thursday, 3 March 1994 9:16AM

### DELIVERED TO IAIN CAMPBELL INSTEAD OF IAN CAMPBELL

From: Blount, Frank

To: Black, Stephen Cc: 'Mason, Deirdre'; Zoi, Charlie; Vonwiller, Chris; Burdon, Steve; Campbell, Ian Carmel; Campbell, Doug; Krasnostein, David; Parker, Harvey; Rizzo, Paul; Scott, Sue

Subject: RE: Gordon Hughes

Date: Thursday, 3 March 1994 7:21AM

#### Stephen:

I am more and more of the view that some form of summit meeting be held between Warwick Smith, AUSTEL (Robin Davey), Gordon Hughes, David Krasnostein, me, and perhaps others to put this "foolishness" behind us.

#### Please advise.

#### Frank

From: Black, Stephen
To: Blount, Frank
Subject: FW: Gordon Hughes
Date: Wednesday, March 02, 1994 10:50PM Priority: High

#### Frank

Copy for your information

#### Steve Black

From: Black, Stephen To: Krasnostein, David Cc: Parker, Harvey; Rizzo, Paul Subject: Gordon Hughes

Date: Wednesday, 2 March 1994 10:48PM

Priority: High

As discussed it appears that Gordon Hughes and Peter Bartlett are ignoring our joint and consistent message to them to rule that our preferred rules of arbitration are fair and to stop trying to devise a s of rules which meet all the COTS requirements and with which we might agree if we were prepared t waive further rights.

Whilst at a personal level I am of the view that we should walk away I do not believe that this option suits Telecoms wider strategy in that it would appear to lead directly to a senate enquiry.

My course therefore is to force Gordon Hughes to rule on our preferred rules of arbitration.

am having our preferred rules prepared now based on Bartlett's latest rules plus our amendments. I have also initiated an independent and authoritative view on these rules, which I expect will advise th these rules are fair. I will then send these directly to Gordon Hughes with a direct and blunt request rule on whether they are fair.

I expect this action to be finalised by tomorrow midday.

Steve Black

D01166

Page 1

#### Internal Memo



To

MR DAVID KRASNOSTEIN

GENERAL COUNSEL

From

STEVE BLACK

GROUP GENERAL MANAGER

Subject

Date

7 April 1994

File

Attention

COMMERCIAL AND CONSUMER CUSTOMER AFFAIRS

8/242 EXHIBITION STREET

MELBOURNE VICTORIA 3000

Australia

Telephone

(03) 634 5736

Facsimile

(03) 634 8441

#### David

Peter Bartlett tells me that Graeme Schorer is putting pressure on Gordon Hughes to read the Austel Report and see if it contains anything which would necessitate a change in the Arbitration Rules. I told Mr Bartlett to tell Dr Hughes that Telecom would seriously object to such a course of action.

Steve Paul.

Dr Hughes is now convinced that his proposal to have a joint meeting to finalise the rules tomorrow is useless. I have told Mr Bartlett that the only basis on which Telecom would attend a meeting is to formally sign the rules - no further discussion or negotiation to be entered into.

Dr Hughes seems to have dug a bit of a hole for himself.

Mr Bartlett is urging Dr Hughes to notify COTS that he has decided that the rules are now finalised and fair and reasonable and must be signed by COTS and Telecom tomorrow. Warwick Smith supports him in this. Dr Hughes has agreed to talk to Mr Schorer in an attempt to convince him to sign the rules tomorrow. I understand that Amanda Davis is ready to sign.

Paul Rumble

NATIONAL MANAGER

CUSTOMER RESPONSE UNIT